

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Bowdren
<b>Given Name</b>	William
<b>Person ID</b>	1286423
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Bowdren
<b>Given Name</b>	William
<b>Person ID</b>	1286423
<b>Title</b>	JPA 33 New Carrington
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Some of the areas chosen for development are excellent farmland and amenity spaces which should be preserved.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>The A6144 relief road across Carrington Moss would spoil an attractive amenity area and lose valuable farmland. The alternative is to widen the existing road to dual carriageway from the Flixton Road traffic lights to the M60 J8. Agreed that there should be a southerly by-pass for Carrington across the old petrochemical works grounds, as planned.</p> <p>The proposed development to the west of Manor Avenue is poorly sited. It would threaten some of the Dainewell Millennium Woodland, and eat into valuable farmland. The better alternative is the unused wasteland further south, to the west of Firsway.</p>